

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/491,284

01/26/00

GITIS

N

3123-149-1

LM02/0911

David M Sigmond Maxtor Corporation 2190 Miller Drive Longmont CO 80501-8696 OMETZ, D

**EXAMINER** 

ART UNIT

2754

DATE MAILED:

09/11/00

PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Applie

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/491,284

Applicant(s)

Gitis et al

Examiner

**David Ometz** 

Group Art Unit 2754



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 26, 2000	<u> </u>
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensio 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	·
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority to	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	y under 30 U.S.C. 3 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	2(0)
<ul><li>☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No</li><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li></ul>	υ(ə)
<ul> <li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94</li> </ul>	18
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/491284

Art Unit: 2754

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I, Figures 3A-4B; Group II, Figures 5A-6C.

Page 2

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/491284

Art Unit: 2754

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to David Ometz whose telephone number is (703) 308-1296.

DAVID L. OMETZ

Page 3

PRIMARY EXAMINER

DLO

September 8, 2000